**Regular** Article

### THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL SPECIAL TOPICS

## Control of dynamical instability in semiconductor quantum nanostructures diode lasers: Role of phase-amplitude coupling

P. Kumar<sup>1,a</sup> and F. Grillot<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Femtosecond Laser Laboratory, Department of Physical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali 140306, Punjab, India

<sup>2</sup> Télécom ParisTech, Ecole Nationale des Supérieure des Télécommunications de Paris, Laboratoire CNRS 5141, 46 rue Barrault, 75634 Paris Cedex 13, France

Received 22 March 2013 / Received in final form 3 May 2013 Published online 11 July 2013

Abstract. We numerically investigate the complex nonlinear dynamics for two independently coupled laser systems consisting of (i) mutually delay-coupled edge emitting diode lasers and (ii) injection-locked quantum nanostructures lasers. A comparative study in dependence on the dynamical role of  $\alpha$  parameter, which determine the phase-amplitude coupling of the optical field, in both the cases is probed. The variation of  $\alpha$  lead to conspicuous changes in the dynamics of both the systems, which are characterized and investigated as a function of optical injection strength  $\eta$  for the fixed coupled-cavity delay time  $\tau$ . Our analysis is based on the observation that the cross-correlation and bifurcation measures unveil the signature of enhancement of amplitude-death islands in which the coupled lasers mutually stay in stable phase-locked states. In addition, we provide a qualitative understanding of the physical mechanisms underlying the observed dynamical behavior and its dependence on  $\alpha$ . The amplitude death and the existence of multiple amplitude death islands could be implemented for applications including diode lasers stabilization.

#### 1 Introduction

The semiconductor diode lasers are known to be very sensitive to the external optical perturbations such as, optical self-feedback, optoelectronic feedback, optical injection. When the diode laser is subjected to optical injection by another diode laser then the radiation emitted from mutually delay-coupled diode lasers is well behaving, well understandable and well classifiable in terms of complex nonlinear dynamics [2]. On the one hand these dynamical instabilities are undesired features and disturb the many applications where one needs the constant stable power but on the other hand they may allow new methods for the secure communications using chaos synchronization. So the systematic study and control of these nonlinear dynamics provide fundamental

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> e-mail: pramod@iisermohali.ac.in, pk6965@gmail.com



**Fig. 1.** Schematic diagram of a Delay-coupled diode laser system. The details of the schematic of this experimental setup are shown in [1].

insights into the underlying physics of the system [3]. On the basis of which one can redesign the device [11] or improve the processing, or simply exploit the dynamical performance of a system to ones advantage. Amplitude death [1] is one of the collective fascinating phenomena [4], where coupled lasers drive each other to a fixed point and stop the oscillatory dynamics. The coupling induced stabilization is known to occur either by changing the stability of unstable fixed points which already exists in the absence of coupling or the stationary state which can be created newly by the coupling. Because of very diverse time scales involved in the dynamics, the routes by which the two coupled lasers reach their stable states in the presence of finite-delayed interaction remain poorly understood. In this sprit, we have addressed the question whether these dynamical behaviors of a coupled diode lasers and particularly quantum nanostructures based diode lasers can be controlled via amplitude death and the kind of dynamics one encounter during the route to reach the ultimate stable state.

#### 2 The model for the delay-coupled diode lasers system

For numerical simulations, the time evolutions of the complex electric field  $E_{1,2}(t)$ and the  $N_{1,2}(t)$  are the effective carrier density  $(n_{th} - n_{1,2})$  in the laser medium for each delay-coupled diode lasers are modeled.

$$\frac{dE_1}{dt} = (1+i\alpha)N_1(t)E_1(t) + \eta \ e^{-i\omega_2\tau}E_2(t-\tau),$$
(1)

$$T\frac{dN_1}{dt} = J_1 - N_1 - [2N_1 + 1] |E_1(t)|^2, \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{dE_2}{dt} = (1+i\alpha)N_2(t)E_2(t) + \eta \ \mathrm{e}^{-i\omega_1\tau}E_1(t-\tau), \tag{3}$$

$$T\frac{dN_2}{dt} = J_2 - N_2 - [2N_2 + 1]|E_2(t)|^2.$$
(4)

In order to analyze the complex dynamical behaviour of two mutually delay-coupled diode lasers system (as shown in Fig. 1), the LK equations can be written in a standard normalized form [2]: where  $\eta$  is the effective coupling strength, i.e., the fraction of light of one laser injected into the other laser and vice versa,  $J_{1,2}$ 's are the effective current densities  $(j_{th} - j_{1,2})$ , T is the ratio of the carrier lifetime to

the photon lifetime,  $\alpha$  [7] is the linewidth enhancement factor or phase-amplitude coupling factor, and  $\tau$  is the coupled cavity time taken by the light to cover the distance between the two lasers system.  $\omega_{1,2}$  are the optical angular frequencies of the free running diode lasers 1 and 2.  $E_{1,2}(t-\tau)$  are the fields delayed by one coupling time  $\tau = L/c$  and  $\omega_{1,2}\tau$  are the coupling phase detuning. For simplicity, we have taken two similar diode lasers for which the frequency detuning between them is assumed to be very small or nearly zero. In order to mimick the real experimental situation, we have introduced some small noise in our model. We have done numerical integration of the above equations by using Runge-Kutta fourth-order method with a step size  $= \tau/n$ , where n = 1000 is chosen based on the response time of photodiode in experimental setup [2]. The dimensionless parameters are taken as  $J_{1,2} = 0.165, 0.175$ and T = 1000 [2]. In order to scan and understand the different dynamical regimes, we use equation 5 for correlation measurement [1]. We observe the route to amplitude death of low frequency complex dynamics in the output power of a diode laser (slave) when subjected to optical injection from another diode laser (master). In the context of a system of two delay-coupled diode lasers and Quantum dot nanostructures lasers, we emphasize the effect of  $\alpha$  on the control of complex dynamical instabilities near the phase flip transition regimes as a function of coupled-cavity time delay  $\tau$  and the optical injection strength  $\eta$ . Shifting of different phase-correlated dynamics, such as phase-flip bifurcation [2] and strange bifurcation [1] was observed in our previous work when  $\eta$  is varied for a particular  $\tau$ . In this present work, we show that these phase flip transitions does not occur abruptly at a particular value of  $\eta$  and  $\alpha$ . Instead we find a coupling strength region around the phase flip transition where the co-existence of multi-attractors occurs as shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3. We show that the phase flip bifurcation occurs from in-phase amplitude death to anti-phase amplitude death and the strange bifurcation occur from anti-phase to in-phase transition regimes [10]. The existence of multiple attractors near the regime of strange bifurcation (as shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 4) has raised the issue of whether the  $\alpha$  plays a crucial role or not. We also study the effect of  $\eta$  along with the phase-amplitude coupling factor on the dynamics in the amplitude death regime and extends the study for nanostructures quantum dot lasers. One of the Key features of semi-conducteur lasers is their 3 - dBmodulation bandwidth, which is limited due to the presence of strong relaxation oscillation damping rates. This work also theoretically focuses on the impact of strong injection in a quantum nanostructure semiconductor laser with large variation of the phase-amplitude coupling factor through the non-linear dynamics and the modulation response at zero-detuning. The combination of the strong injection, optimized phaseamplitude coupling factor and the zero-detuning case shows the possibility to reach the stable state, which is fully suitable for laser control applications.

# 3 Influence of $\alpha$ in delay-coupled edge-emitting diode lasers and injection-locked quantum nanostructures lasers

The linewidth enhancement factor  $\alpha$  describes the coupling between the real and imaginary parts of the material refrective index of the gain medium, and is given by the ratio of their derivatives with respect to the carrier density. Its value is known to depend on the carrier concentration, photon wavelength, injection current and temperature. For quantum nanostructures based diode lasers (quantum dot lasers), the value of  $\alpha$  can in fact be controlled by the desirable design of the device structure or by the filtered optical feedback or injection techniques. Unlike the quantum dot lasers, the  $\alpha$  in bulk semiconductor lasers can be modified just by varying the specific combination of control parameters in a particular range. In order to analyze the role of  $\alpha$  in the various dynamical regimes of mutually delay-coupled diode lasers, we use



Fig. 2. Plot of the cross-correlation C(t) versus  $\alpha$  for a fixed time delay  $\tau = 14$  (in units of cavity photon lifetime).



Fig. 3. Plot of the cross-correlation C(t) versus coupling strength,  $\eta$  for a fixed time delay  $\tau = 14$  (in units of cavity photon lifetime).

a normalized cross-correlation function defined as

$$C(t) = \frac{\langle (P_1(t) - \langle P_1(t) \rangle) (P_2(t) - \langle P_2(t) \rangle)}{\sqrt{\langle (P_1(t) - \langle P_1(t) \rangle)^2 \rangle \langle (P_2(t) - \langle P_2(t) \rangle)^2 \rangle}}.$$
(5)

Note that the measured output powers of the lasers,  $P_{1,2} \equiv |E_{1,2}|^2$  do not explicitly depend on the optical phases  $\phi_{1,2}$  of the electric fields. We wish to probe the role of phases of optical fields through  $\alpha$  in the intensity cross-correlation near the phase bifurcation or transitions of two mutually delay-coupled diode lasers. We start by analyzing the modulation properties of the coupled laser system from the rate equations (1–4). In Fig. 4, the symbol AD represents the signature of ultimate death state while BS and MS represent the bistability and multistability between in-phase amplitude-death island and anti-phase amplitude-death island respectively. Recall that the phase-space plot of this ultimate death state is represented as AD in Figure 5, and the multi-attaractors dynamics (MS) are shown in Fig 6. From the cross-correlation measures, we have observed a unusual phase transitions from anti-phase to inphase state (as shown in Fig. 3) via strange bifurcation as the



Fig. 4. Bifurcation Plots of laser output powers  $P_1$  (open circles) and  $P_2$  (filled circles) versus coupling strength  $\eta$  for a fixed time delay  $\tau = 14$  (in units of cavity photon lifetime).



Fig. 5. Plot of Amplitude death attractor of the AD state shown in figure 4 in the parameter space of P(t), N(t),  $\phi(t)$  for a fixed time delay  $\tau = 14$ .

control parameter,  $\alpha$  is varied for a particular value of  $\eta$ . So the phase-amplitude coupling factor induced multistability has been observed in the system as shown in Fig. 4. The amplitude death attractors is shown in Fig. 5 within the AD regimes of Fig. 4. The three different attractors (MS regimes in Fig. 4), depending on the initial conditions, are shown in Fig. 6 as the  $\eta$  scaned back and forth. Injection-locking of semiconductor lasers is one of the most attractive research topics since this method induces superior improvement in the high-speed characteristics of directly modulated lasers such as increasing the modulation bandwidth, suppressing nonlinear distortion, relative intensity noise [5], mode hopping and reducing chirp [6]. Previous work has focused on realizing high modulation bandwidths and associated design strategies, analyzed the modulation properties of the coupled system in the spectral domain, and numerically investigated the modulation response of the injection-locked system [9]. In order to understand the limiting factors in an injection-locked system it is important to investigate the governing theory that can be obtained by properly modeling



Fig. 6. Phase space plots of the multi-attractors dynamics (MS state in Fig. 4) between two stable locking states as the initial condition are varied for fixed  $\eta$ ,  $\tau$  and initial transients of 10<sup>5</sup> data points are discarded.

the impact of characteristic parameters such as the so-called linewidth enhancement factor ( $\alpha$ -parameter). A dimensionless volume averaged normalized approach to theoretically evaluate the nonlinear dynamics as a function of the injected field ratio and/or the detuning frequency for varied slave laser bias cases can be described as follows [8]:

$$\frac{dY}{d\tau} = ZY + \epsilon Y(Y^2 - P) + \eta \cos \theta, \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = \alpha Z - \alpha \epsilon (Y^2 - P) - \frac{\eta}{Y} \sin \theta - \Delta \Omega, \qquad (7)$$

$$T\frac{dZ}{d\tau} = P - Z - Y^2(1 + 2Z - 2\epsilon Y^2 + 2\epsilon P) + \eta \cos\theta, \qquad (8)$$

with Y, describing the normalized field magnitude and Z the normalized carrier density. T-parameter is the ratio of the cavity decay rate to the spontaneous carrier relaxation rate. Parameter P is proportional to the pumping current above threshold while coefficient  $\epsilon$  accounts for the nonlinear carrier contribution to relaxation rate. The detuning and phase offset between the master and the slave are denoted by  $\Delta\Omega$ and  $\theta$ , respectively. The normalized injection strength is  $\eta = \eta_0 (P/\gamma_c)^{1/2}$  with  $\gamma_c$ the cavity decay rate and  $\eta_0$  the maximum injection strength. In solving the coupled normalized differential equations, the normalized field magnitude Y is not at steady state, and is thus represented as a dependent term in the normalized field magnitude



Fig. 7. Calculated bifurcations diagrams of a quantum dot laser with a variable linewidth enhancement factor.

and phase rate equations. In what follows, this model is used for the stability analysis of a quantum dot laser. The quantum dot laser under study is a ridge waveguide with 500 micrometer cleaved cavity length. The ground state (GS) emission wavelength is at 1560 nm [6]. Because of the carrier filling in the lasing and non-lasing higher energy levels, gain compression effects are much larger as compared to bulk or quantum well materials [9]. Consequently, it has been shown that the  $\alpha$ -factor in quantum dot lasers cannot be considered as a constant parameter since it strongly varies from one laser to another and also with optical output power. Figure 7 shows the bifurcation diagrams calculated at a constant pump current but for different values of the  $\alpha$ -parameter ranging from 1 to 15(assuming  $\Delta\Omega = 0$ ). The objective of the calculations is to show the effects of a large  $\alpha$ -factor on the lasers stability. Numerical results point out that taking into account such variations reveals strong modifications in the bifurcation diagram. On one hand, at low  $\alpha$  (case (a)), the laser is always stable while for  $\alpha = 3$ (case (b)), period one oscillation starts occurring. On the other hand, for  $\alpha > 3$  (cases (c), (d), (e) and (f)), the bifurcation diagram exhibits chaos (at low injection ratio) followed by a cascade of periodic regimes converging to a stability area (at very high injection ratio). From a general point of view, simulations reveal that the smallest  $\alpha$ -parameter, the better dynamical instibility control. As far as the engineering point of view is concerned, these results are very important for the optimization of the microwave properties. Although the optical injection is used to purify the relaxation frequency as well as the modulation bandwidth, these numerical results point out that a large  $\alpha$ -factor is detrimental for the lasers stability and can induce severe degradations in the microwave properties. As a conclusion, in order to maintain a wide stability area with optical injection associated to good microwave properties, a low  $\alpha$ -factor is mandatory in quantum dot lasers.

#### 4 Conclusion

This new concept stabilizes the laser emission by directly controlling the nonlinearity and, consequently, enhances the stability properties of the system. In general, semiconductor lasers with a sufficiently low  $\alpha$  would be most interesting for practical applications due to the possibility of chirpless operation, and the insensitivity to delayed optical feedback or injection. The different dynamics and the strange bifurcation among them is investigated as a function of coupled-cavity time delay  $\tau$ and the optical injection strength  $\eta$ . The correlation measure gives the signature of variation in amplitude death islands of complex dynamics of the delay-coupled lasers. The shrinkage of in-phase death state and enlargement of out-of-phase death state are observed (as shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3) and analyzed when  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$  are varied. We provide detailed information about the effect of the variation of  $\alpha$  on the dynamics of the system over wide ranges of experiment relevant parameters. In particular, we provide and give numerical evidence that the stability of the system increases with decreasing  $\alpha$ . This last point is particularly predominant in semiconductor quantum nanostructure lasers for which a large variation in alpha factor is usually observed.

#### References

- 1. P. Kumar, A. Prasad, R. Ghosh, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 42, 145401 (2009)
- 2. P. Kumar, A. Prasad, R. Ghosh, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 41, 135402 (2008)
- 3. V. Resmi, G. Ambika, R.E. Amritkar, Phys. Rev. E 84, 046212 (2011)
- N. Punetha, R. Karnatak, A. Prasad, J. Kurths, R. Ramaswamy, Phys. Rev. E 85, 046204 (2012)
- 5. T.B. Simpson, J.M. Liu, A. Gavrielides, IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett. 7, 709 (1995)
- N.A. Naderi, M. Pochet, F. Grillot, V. Kovanis, N.B. Terry, L.F. Lester, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 15, 563 (2009)
- 7. C.H. Henry, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 18, 259 (1982)
- 8. T. Erneux, V. Kovanis, A. Gavrielides, P.M. Alsing, Phys. Rev. A. 53, 4372 (1996)
- F. Grillot, B. Dagens, J-G Provost, H. Su, L.F. Lester, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 44, 946 (2008)
- 10. P. Kumar, F. Grillot, Signature of Multistability near the Phase-flip transition regimes in a mutually-delay-coupled diode laser system (2013) (submitted)
- 11. V. Pal, A. Prasad, R. Ghosh, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 44, 235403 (2011)

820